Case 2:21-cv-01737-DJC-JDP Document 36 Filed 07/14/23 Page 1 of 4 1 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California SARAH E. MORRISON, SBN 143459 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General DONALD ROBINSON, SBN 72402 3 Deputy Attorney General 4 KATE M. HAMMOND, SBN 293433 Deputy Attorney General 5 300 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013 6 Telephone: (213) 269-6531 Fax: (213) 897-2802 7 Email: kate.hammond@doj.ca.gov 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of Toxic Substances Control and the 9 Toxic Substances Control Account 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC Case No. 2:21-cv-1737-DJC-JDP SUBSTANCES CONTROL and the TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACCOUNT, JOINT INITIAL STATUS REPORT 15 16 Plaintiffs, Courtroom: 10 – 13th Floor Judge: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta 17 v. Trial Date: None Set Action Filed: September 24, 2021 18 CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC, 19 et al. 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1

Case 2:21-cv-01737-DJC-JDP Document 36 Filed 07/14/23 Page 2 of 4

Pursuant to paragraph 5 of this Court's May 24, 2023 Order Extending Stay of Litigation for Settlement Negotiations (Dkt. No. 34) plaintiffs the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") and the Toxic Substances Control Account (together, "Plaintiffs") and defendants Chevron Oronite Company LLC, Atlantic Richfield Company, and Shell Oil Company n/k/a Shell U.S.A., Inc. (together, "Defendants") submit this Joint Status Report:

The parties reported in their May 23, 2023 joint status report (Dkt. No. 33) that following

The parties reported in their May 23, 2023 joint status report (Dkt. No. 33) that following extensive negotiations, counsel for Plaintiffs and common counsel for the IT Sites Cooperating Generators Joint Defense Group ("Joint Defense Group"), of which all Defendants are members, (i) reached agreement on the terms and language of a proposed consent decree that they are recommending to Plaintiffs and individual Joint Defense Group members' counsel and their respective clients, (ii) completed their drafting of the terms of the proposed consent decree to settle and resolve this matter, and (iii) were working on obtaining final approvals of the proposed consent decree and the ancillary agreements.¹

The parties also requested that the Court extend the stay of this litigation by ninety (90) days because the parties anticipated that they will be able to complete their respective internal approvals of the proposed consent decree, and that Plaintiffs will be able to lodge the proposed consent decree with the Court, within ninety (90) days. The Court granted that request and extended the stay by ninety (90) days to August 23, 2023. (Dkt. No. 34.)

The parties now report that they continue to anticipate that they will be able complete their respective approvals of the proposed consent decree and that Plaintiffs will be able to lodge the proposed consent decree with the Court before August 23, 2023.² The members of the Joint Defense Group and Plaintiffs continue to make significant progress internally and remain in consistent communication with each other regarding the proposed consent decree. Additionally, since the last status report, the Joint Defense Group has reported to Plaintiffs which defense group

² Plaintiffs will file a motion for entry of the proposed consent decree after a 30-day public comment period.

¹ The ancillary agreements are agreements among the Defendants and other settling defendants. Certain settling defendants have established a subcommittee to review, revise and finalize the agreements in an effort to ensure the agreements are available for execution before the lodging of the proposed consent decree.

Case 2:21-cv-01737-DJC-JDP Document 36 Filed 07/14/23 Page 3 of 4 members anticipate becoming settling defendants under the proposed consent decree for this matter,³ which, along with additional information to be supplied from the Joint Defense Group, will allow Plaintiffs to prepare an amended complaint adding settling defendants that are not named in the current operative complaint. Plaintiffs anticipate that the amended complaint will be filed before the lodging of the proposed consent decree. [signatures on following page] ³ The Joint Defense Group has also reported which members anticipate becoming settling defendants under the proposed consent decrees that will be lodged in the three other lawsuits, which are Cal. Dep't. of Toxic Substances Control, et al. v. Pacific Gas & Electric Comp., et al., pending in the Northern District, Case No. 4:21-cv-07450-HSG ("Benson Ridge Landfill Case"); Cal. Dep't of Toxic Substances Control, et al. v. Chevron U.S.A. Inc., et al., pending in the Northern District, Case No. 3:21-cv-07453-WHA ("Vine Hill Landfill Case"); and Cal. Dep't. of

Toxic Substances Control, et al. v. Exxon Mobil Corp., et al., pending in this Court, Case No.

2:21-cv-01739-DJC-JDP ("Panoche Landfill Case").

Case 2:21-cv-01737-DJC-JDP Document 36 Filed 07/14/23 Page 4 of 4 1 Dated: July 14, 2023 Respectfully submitted, 2 ROB BONTA 3 Attorney General of California SARAH É. MORRISON Supervising Deputy Attorney General 4 5 /s/ Kate M. Hammond 6 KATE M. HAMMOND Deputy Attorney General 7 DONALD ROBINSON Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiffs Department of Toxic 8 Substances Control and the Toxic Substances 9 Control Account 10 Dated: July 14, 2023 ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL 11 /s/ Robert C. Goodman (as authorized on July 12, 2023) 12 13 Robert C. Goodman Attorneys for Defendant Chevron Oronite 14 Company LLC 15 Dated: July 14, 2023 MILLER NASH LLP 16 /s/ Trajan Perez 17 (as authorized on July 12, 2023) 18 Traian Perez Attorneys for Defendant Atlantic Richfield 19 Company 20 WILSON TURNER KOSMO LLP Dated: July 14, 2023 21 /s/ Robin A. Wofford 22 (as authorized on July 12, 2023) Robin A. Wofford 23 Hubert Kim 24 Attorneys for Defendant Shell Oil Company n/k/a Shell U.S.A., Inc. 25 26 27 28